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ABSTRACT

The present work was conducted to investigate and evaluate the immune response of ducks to duck hepatitis (DH) and avian influenza vaccines administrated singly or simultaneously. Different groups of local breed ducks were vaccinated with the locally produced duck hepatitis vaccine and imported H_5N_1 and H_5N_2 avian influenza (AI) vaccines following the directions of their manufacturers. Serologically it was found that there was no any antagonizing effect of any of the used vaccines on the duck immune response to the other where all vaccinated birds exhibited good levels of specific DH and AI antibodies. Vaccinated ducks showed 80-100% protection against the challenge with virulent DH virus while challenge against AI was not done to avoid public health hazard. So, it is possible to protect ducks simultaneously against DH and AI safely and potently.


INTRODUCTION

Avian influenza is an infectious disease of birds caused by type A strains of the influenza virus. The disease was first identified in Italy more than 100 years ago. All birds are thought to be susceptible to infection with AI, though some species are more resistant to infection than others. Infection causes a wide spectrum of symptoms in birds ranging from mild illness to a highly contagious and rapidly fatal disease resulting in severe epidemics. The later is known as "highly pathogenic avian influenza" which characterized by sudden onset, severe illness and rapid death with a mortality rate that can approach 100%. (FAO, 2005).

An influenza virus similar to A/Duck/England/65(Ha3N4av1) and A/Duck /England/62(Ha4 Nav1) strains isolated from cloacal swabs of migrating birds of different species were recognized. Aly et al (2007b) reported that after one year surveillance in backyard chickens were the most frequently infected. 11.8% of the chicken cases tested were positive for H5N1 and ducks were the second most frequently infected, 11.5% of backyard duck cases were positive for H5N1. In addition, geese (9.9%) and turkeys (5.9%) were found to be infected while there was no evidence for presence of H5N1 nucleic acid in pigeons. AI has been spreading widely and within short period, where a total of 1390 farm cases were collected from 21 Egyptian provinces during the period from February to June 2006. These cases included cases of ducks, geese, turkeys, layer and egg breeders, broiler and broiler breeders (Selim, 2007).

Vaccination against AI can be a valuable tool in controlling the disease where it induces significant reduction in virus shedding from infected birds, minimizes the need of mass culling of healthy poultry flocks, feasible alternative for high value poultry flocks and backyard/hobby poultry flocks and economically less devastating to the poultry
industry (Khafagy, 2005). Aly et al (2007a) reported that Different types of avian influenza virus vaccines were adopted in Egypt after the emergence of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus H5N1 in mid-February 2006 as a tool for disease control. Bertelsen et al (2007) reported that 540 birds in 3 zoo were vaccinated twice against avian influenza with a 6 week interval using an inactivated H5N9 vaccine. Serological response was evaluated by haemagglutination inhibition test 4-6 weeks following the second vaccine administration, 84% of the birds seroconverted, and 76% developed a titer > or = 32. The geometric mean titer after vaccination was 137. Maria Furger et al (2008) reported that in December 2005 the four major Swiss zoo carried out the vaccination of selected zoo birds with the inactivated vaccine H5N2 influenza. Pre- and post- vaccination antibody titers were determined either by HI test to determine the humoral immune response to H5 antigen. The mean titers were found to be 2.09 at 5th week, 3.24 at 10th week and 1.20 at 26th week successively.

Duck hepatitis virus (DVH) is one of most economic important diseases to all duck growing farms because of its high potential mortality if the infection is not controlled (Greuel, 1960, Levine, 1972 and Saif et al., 2003). It is acute highly fatal rapidly spreading viral infection of young ducklings. It was first recorded in New York and Taiwan. The morbidity is 100% and the mortality may reach 95-100% in the first week of age (Mahdy, 2005).

It is well known that successful control of infectious diseases; especially those of viral nature; depends mainly on well designed vaccination programs using high potent safe vaccines. The most effective control of DH depends mainly on vaccination of one day ducklings with attenuated vaccines (Crighton and Woolcock, 1978).
According to the recent recorded outbreaks of AI in many countries of the world and Egypt, the present study was planned to investigate the effect of Inactivated AI vaccine on the immune response of ducks to duck hepatitis (DH) vaccine which is considered the principal vaccine in protection of duck flocks against one of the most devastating viral diseases. This investigation is clarified through the estimation of the induced DH and AI antibodies in different duck groups subjected to different schedules of DH and AI vaccination. Hemagglutination inhibition titers will probably be indicative of the level of protection and immunity to avian influenza (Brugh and Stone, 1986; Swayne, 2009). Tian et al. (2005) and Kumar et al. (2007) supposed that HI antibody titers of 4log2 or higher of vaccinated chickens were completely protective from virus challenge.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1- Inactivated avian influenza vaccine:

Inactivated oil adjuvant avian influenza vaccines type-A, subtype H5N1 (Re-1 strain) under the trade name Ressortant AI vaccine H5N1 Yebio Bioengineering co. China and were supplied by Kemit, and H5N2 A/chicken/Mexico/232/94/CPA under the trade name Volvac AIKV of a titer 10^{7.6}\text{EID}_{50}/dose and 32HAU/dose were supplied by Boehringer Igelheim Vetmedica, GmbH, Germany.

2- Avian influenza antigen:

H5N1 and H5N2 antigens of avian influenza virus were supplied by ID.VET Company, Germany for innovative diagnostics and used in ELISA.

3-Duck hepatitis vaccine:

Live attenuated duck hepatitis vaccine was supplied by Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abassia, Cairo.
4-Chicken RBCs:

Chicken RBCs were washed obtained from healthy unvaccinated chickens; freshly prepared and diluted to be 1% to be used in HIT according to Allan et al (1978).

5- Birds and Vaccination schedule:

Two hundred one-day old local breed ducks were obtained from a private farm. These birds were reared under hygienic measures and screened with HI and SNT where they found to be free from AI and DH antibodies. These ducklings were divided into 8 groups (25 birds/ group) vaccinated in the following manner:

*Group-1 was vaccinated S/C with 2 doses of DVH vaccine each dose was $10^3$ TCID$_{50}$. The 1$^{st}$ dose was administrated on 2$^{nd}$ day old while the 2$^{nd}$ dose on 23$^{rd}$ day old.

*Group-2 was vaccinated on the 2$^{nd}$ week of age with $\text{H}_5\text{N}_1$-AI vaccine by inoculation of 0.5ml subcutaneously in each duckling.

*Group-3 was vaccinated on the 2$^{nd}$ week of age with $\text{H}_5\text{N}_2$-AI vaccine through inoculation of 0.5ml subcutaneously in each duckling.

*Group-4 received 2 doses of DH vaccine (the first dose was inoculated at 2 days of age). Two weeks later ducklings were inoculated with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DVH vaccine simultaneously with $\text{H}_5\text{N}_1$-AI vaccine.

*Group-5 received 2 doses of DH vaccine (the first dose was inoculated at 2 days of age). Two weeks later simultaneous vaccination with 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine and $\text{H}_5\text{N}_2$-AI vaccine.

*Group-6 on the 2$^{nd}$ week of age ducklings were vaccinated simultaneously with $\text{H}_5\text{N}_1$ and $\text{H}_5\text{N}_2$ –AI vaccines.
*Group-7 received 2 doses of DH vaccine (the first dose was inoculated at 2 days of age). After two weeks ducklings were vaccinated simultaneously with 2nd dose of DVH, H₅N₁ and H₅N₂.

*Group-8 was kept without vaccination as control.

The used doses and rout of vaccination were followed up the directions of the manufacturers.

6- **Sampling:**

Blood samples were obtained from the experimental birds through the jugular vein puncture under complete aseptic conditions according to Lannette (1964) and allowed to form clots at 4°C over night. The serum was separated and centrifuged at 2000rpm for 15 minutes then kept in sterile screw capped vials at -20°C till subjected for serological examination. Serum samples were obtained on week then month intervals post vaccination.

7- **Challenge test:**

Twenty one days post the last vaccination 10 birds from each group were isolated randomly and challenged intramuscularly with the virulent DH virus and kept under observation for 15 days post challenge for development of clinical signs of the disease. The used dose was 10⁶EID₅₀/ bird injected intramuscularly. Numbers of dead and live birds were recorded; the protection index to evaluate the efficacy of vaccines was calculated. Challenge against virulent AI virus was not done to avoid public health hazard.

8- **Haemagglutination (HA) and Haemagglutination inhibition test (HI):**

HA and HI tests were carried out according to Allan et al (1978).

9- **Serum neutralization test (SNT):**

The DH antibodies in duckling sera were titrated against 100 TCID₅₀/ml of the used virus on Vero cells using the microtiter technique.
according to Florence et al. (1992). The antibody titers were calculated as the reciprocal of the final serum dilution which neutralized and inhibited the CPE of 100-200 TCID$_{50}$/ml of the used virus according to Singh et al. (1967). SNT was applied on the obtained serum obtained from five randomly selected ducklings from each vaccinated and control group.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table (1): Neutralizing DH antibodies in different vaccinated duckling groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duck group</th>
<th>Mean DH serum neutralizing antibody titer*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1W PV ** 2W PV 3WP V 1W PB # 2W P 3W P C H A L E 1WP Ch 2 W P Ch 3WP Ch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 16 32 64 128 128 32 64 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6 16 22 32 64 128 64 64 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 24 36 64 128 128 80 96 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 32 48 56 64 128 64 32 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* WPV refers to weeks post vaccination.

* WPB refers to weeks post booster.

* WPCh refers to weeks post challenge.

*Group-1 was vaccinated with duck hepatitis vaccine only.

*Group-4 received 2 doses of DH vaccine and H$_5$N$_1$-AI vaccine with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine.

*Group-5 received 2 doses of DH vaccine and H$_5$N$_2$-AI vaccine with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine.
*Group-7 received 2 doses of DH vaccine and H$_5$N$_1$- and H$_5$N$_2$ AI vaccines with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine.
*Group-8 was kept without vaccination as control.

Table (2): Challenge exposure response to virulent DH virus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duckling groups</th>
<th>Number of challenged birds</th>
<th>Number of survived</th>
<th>Protection percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Avian influenza HI antibody titers in different vaccinated duckling groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duckling groups</th>
<th>Avian influenza HI antibody titers (log 2/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1WPV*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Group-2 was vaccinated with H$_5$N$_1$-AI vaccine.
*Group-3 was vaccinated with H$_5$N$_2$-AI vaccine.
*Group-4 received 2 doses of DH vaccine and H$_5$N$_1$-AI vaccine with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine.
*Group-5 received 2 doses of DH vaccine and H$_5$N$_2$-AI vaccine with the 2$^{nd}$ dose of DH vaccine.
*Group-6 was vaccinated simultaneously with H5N1 and H5N2 –AI vaccines.
*Group-7 received 2 doses of DH vaccine H5N1-and H5N2 AI vaccines with the 2nd dose of DH vaccine.
*Group-8 was kept without vaccination as control.

Antibody titers against DHV as estimated by SNT were detectable in all vaccinated duckling groups by the 1st week post vaccination recording their peaks by the 3rd week post administration of the 2nd dose. These titers decreased by the first week post challenge then increased again by the 2nd week post challenge (Table-1). These results expressed the elevation of immune response as stated by Abd-Elwanis (1999). The high antibody titer in vaccinated group is related to the effectiveness of the local live attenuated vaccine in agreement with El-Koffy et al. (1999).

Challenge test revealed that the mortality rate was highest in the control group infected with DHV while protection rate was ranged between 80-100% in vaccinated groups (Table-2). The high mortality rate in the unvaccinated group could be attributed to the deteriorated effect of the virus on the liver and kidneys as well as its immunosuppressive effect. This mortality was confirmed by the recorded liver gross lesions in the form of hemorrhagic streaks. These results were parallel to these reported by Mahmoud (1980), Liao et al. (1991), Saif et al. (2003) and Mahdy (2005).

Several vaccine manufacturers are supplying different inactivated H5N1 and H5N2 AIV vaccines containing different seed viruses, mainly A/Chicken/Mexico/232/94/CPA (H5N2) and A/Goose/Guangdong/1/1996 (H5N1). Vaccination against the disease was introduced as a supportive tool, in addition to culling of positive flocks, to decrease the effect of the disease on the industry and decrease environmental load with the virus. The obtained results of HI test (Table-3) showed that both of used AI
vaccines stimulate the duck immune system inducing detectable antibodies using homologous antigens in single vaccination. Simultaneous vaccination with H5N1 and H5N2 AIV vaccines showed higher HI titers reach 128 in group 6 and 7 while group 4 and 5 with single vaccination the HI titers reach its max. Value 64 which could be attributed to sharing antigen (H5). There is no apparent difference between the immune response of vaccinated ducklings to either vaccine. The obtained AI HI antibody titers could be considered of good protective levels where hemagglutination inhibition titers will probably be indicative of the level of protection and immunity to avian influenza as stated by Brugh and Stone, 1986; Swayne (2009). In addition, Tian et al. (2005) and Kumar et al. (2007) supposed that HI antibody titers of 4log2 or higher of vaccinated chickens were completely protective from virus challenge.

So, it could be concluded that the applied vaccination schedules are applicable providing good protection levels for ducklings against DH and AI viruses.

REFERENCES


Serological investigation on broiler immune response to avian influenza H5 vaccines in Egypt.
The 7th international symposium of faculty of veterinary Medicine, Cairo University. Veterinary Medical Journal Giza.; 55(2):603-609.

One-year surveillance on avian influenza H5N1 in backyard poultry in Egypt.


Immunization of chickens against influenza with hemagglutinin specific (H5) emulsion vaccine.

Active immunization of ducklings against duck virus hepatitis.


FAO (2005):

Potential risk of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) spreading through wild water bird migration. Issue no.33.


Greuel, E. (1960):

Unlersuchungen under die Eignung des Enter embryo szu studies am. Virus Infectiosen Hepatitis der Enten. Nalurwissen Schaften; 47: 452.


Avian Influenza.


Association of serologic and protective responses of avian influenza vaccines in chickens.


Lennete, E.H. (1964):

Diagnostic procedures for viral and ricketsial diseases. 3rd Ed. A public health Ass.Inc.;Broadway.

Levine, P.P. (1972):


The outbreak and control of Duck viral disease in Taiwan (1989-1990). Department of Epidemiology, Taiwan Provincial Research Institute for Animal Health, Tansui, R.O.C. on Taiwan.

Mahdy, Salwa, A. (2005):


Mahmoud, Amina (1980):

The serologic response of duckling vaccinated with a local isolate of duck virus hepatitis attenuated in embryonated chicken egg. M.V.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of Vet. Medicine, Cairo University.


Humoral Immune response to avian influenza vaccination over a six-month period in different species of Captive wild birds.

Avian Diseases: Vol.52, No.2, pp.222-228.


أجرى هذا العمل استبان وتقييم الاستجابة المناعية للبط لللقاحات الإلتهاب الكبدى H5N1 و H5N2 والوبائى وإنفلونزا الطيور H5N1 و H5N2 عند استخدامها أحاديا أو تزامنا حيث تم تحسين مجموعات مختلفة من البط القابل للعدوى بلقاح الإلتهاب الكبدى الوبائى وحده وآخرى بهذا اللقاح وثانيه بنفس اللقاح مع لقاح H5N1 ورابعه بلقاح H5N1 وحده وسادسه بلقاح H5N1 مع لقاح H5N2 وحده وسادسه بلقاح H5N1 مع لقاح H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N1 وسابعه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N1 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وثانيه مع لقاح إنفلونزا الطيور H5N2 وسادسه بلقاح إنفلونза الطيور و H5N2 وتزامنا بصورة أمنة وفعالة.